MICHAEL R. McNULTY, NEW YORK, CHAIRMAN SUBCOMMITTEE ON SOCIAL SECURITY

SANDER M. LEVIN, MICHIGAN
EARL POMEROY, NORTH DAKOTA
ALLYSON Y. SCHWARTZ, PENNSYLVANIA
ARTUR DAVIS, ALABAMA
XAVIER BECERRA, CALIFORNIA
LLOYD DOGGETT, TEXAS
STEPHANIE TUBBS JONES, OHIO

SAM JOHNSON, TEXAS RON LEWIS, KENTUCKY KEVIN BRADY, TEXAS PAUL RYAN, WISCONSIN DEVIN NUNES, CALIFORNIA

Congress of the United States House of Representatives COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

WASHINGTON, DC 20515

SUBCOMMITTEE ON SOCIAL SECURITY

COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

——

JANICE MAYS, CHIEF COUNSEL AND STAFF DIRECTOR

CHARLES B. RANGEL, NEW YORK, CHAIRMAN

KATHRYN OLSON, SUBCOMMITTEE STAFF DIRECTOR

BRETT LOPER, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR
KIM HILDRED, SUBCOMMITTEE MINORITY

Securing Our Border with an Employment Verification System That Works

Cosponsor HR 5515 - the "New Employee Verification Act"

March 5, 2008

Dear Colleague,

Providing effective worksite enforcement – essential to securing our borders – will affect millions of workers and must be done right. As Members of Congress, we will be asked to choose the right system for our constituents. I ask that you please review the enclosed document, as answers to the following questions will help us make the right choice.

If you or your staff would like more information about the New Employee Verification Act (H.R. 5515) that is detailed in the attachment, please visit Ways and Means Republicans at: http://republicans.waysandmeans.house.gov/. Should you have any questions or wish to cosponsor this legislation, please contact Kim Hildred, from the Ways and Means Social Security Subcommittee by email or at 225-4021 or Kathleen Black from my personal office by email or at 225-4201.

Sincerely,

/s Sam Johnson Ranking Member Subcommittee on Social Security Committee on Ways and Means

Enclosure

Supported by Employers?	Protects Employers?	Is Easy to Use?	Is Accurate?	Prevents Identity Theft?	Protects U.S. Citizens?	
NO – Human Resource experts and other business groups do not support mandatory use of the E-Verify system due to system inaccuracies and liability laid upon employers.	NO – Employers are vulnerable to sanctions through no fault of their own. Small businesses are limited in the methods of transmitting employee information to the E-Verify system.	NO – E-Verify has enrolled less than 1% (60,000) of all employers and would need to launch a costly campaign in order to enroll thousands of new employers each day.	NO – E-Verify relies upon a database with approximately a 4 percent error rate, causing errors that could affect over 6 million workers.	NO – E-Verify is unable to detect document fraud and identity theft.	NO – citizens' personal & new job information would be checked by the Department of Homeland Security – a federal law enforcement agency.	Mandated E-Verify*
YES - the H.R. Initiative for a Legal Workforce, representing thousands of H.R. professionals, strongly supports NEVA for its innovation, and for its employer and employee protections.	YES – provides a "safe-harbor" from prosecution for employers who faithfully follow the law. Businesses would be allowed to transmit employee information using either an Internet or telephone connection.	YES – builds upon the existing new hire reporting process used by 90% of employers and replaces the current paper-based, error-prone, I-9 process with a secure electronic verification system.	YES – requires advance resources, accuracy standards, and annual reporting to Congress to ensure the system is efficient and protects individual privacy.	YES – establishes a new voluntary system whereby employers may access private sector experts – certified by the government – to verify the identity of the worker and safeguard their identity with cuttingedge biometric technology.	YES – citizens' personal & new job information would be checked only by the Social Security Administration, an agency that already maintains this information for all workers.	New Employee Verification Act (NEVA)